California State Journal of Medicine

OWNED AND PUBLISHED MONTHLY BY THE MEDICAL SOCIETY OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUTLER BUILDING, 135 STOCKTON STREET, SAN FRANCISCO

SAXTON POPE, M. D. ALFRED C. REED, M. D. CELESTINE J. SULLIVAN MAR 12

COUNTY ASSOC	IATE EDITORS:
AlamedaDr. E. Spence Du Puy, Oakland	San Bernardino.
ButteDr. Edward Baumeister, Chico	San Diego
Contra CostaDr. P. C. Campbell, Richmond	San Francisco
Fresno	San Joaquin
GlennDr. W. H. Walker, Willows	San Luis Obispo.
HumboldtDr. L. P. Dorais, Eureka	San Mateo
Imperial	Santa Barbara
KernDr. C. A. Morris, Bakersfield	Santa Clara
Lassen-Plumas	Santa Cruz
Los AngelesDr. Wm. Wenzlick, Los Angeles	Shasta
MarinDr. W. F. Jones, San Rafael	Siskiyou
MendocinoDr. O. H. Beckman, Fort Bragg	Solano
MercedDr. Brett Davis, Merced	Sonoma
MontereyDr. T. C. Edwards, Salinas	Stanislaus
NapaDr. Robt. Crees, Napa	Tehama
OrargeDr. H. A. Johnston. Anaheim	Tulare
	Tuolumne
Placer Dr. Doub El Girconda Divoncida	Ventura
RiversideDr. Paul E. Simonds, Riverside	
SacramentoDr. S. E. Simmons, Sacramento	Yolo Yuba-Sutter
San Renito	YUDH-SULTER

San BernardinoDr. C. L. Curtiss, Redlands
San DiegoDr. Robt. Pollock, San Diego
San FranciscoDr. Le Roy Briggs, San Francisco
San JoaquinDr. Dewey R. Powell, Stockton
San Luis ObispoDr. Paul K. Jackson, San Luis Obispo
San MateoDr. A. L. Offield, Burlingame
Santa BarbaraDr. Robert W. Hartwell, Santa Barbara
Santa ClaraDr. Chas. Richards, San Jose
Santa CruzDr. J. C. Farmer, Santa Cruz
ShastaDr. F. Stabel, Redding
Siskiyou
SolanoDr. A. V. Doran, Vallejo
SonomaDr. N. Juell, Santa Rosa
Sonolia
StanislausDr. E. F. Reamer, Modesto
Tehama
TulareDr. J. T. Melvin, Porterville
Tuolumne
VenturaDr. C. A. Jenson, Ventura
YoloDr. Frances L. Newton, Woodland
Yuba-Sutter

Contributors, subscribers and readers will find important information on the sixteenth advertising page following the reading matter.

♥OL. XVIII

NOVEMBER, 1920

No. 11

INDIVIDUALISM IN MEDICINE

The recent campaign involving the anti-health measures, brought out clearly certain types of medical minds. No properly informed person had any doubts concerning the pernicious character of the first three proposed amendments and the propriety of the last, but they reacted in different ways.

To those who threw their energies into the effort to protect human life and promote social betterment in this issue, we owe our thanks.

There are those, however, who assumed an attitude of non-resistance. Their belief is that the public has a perfect right to go unvaccinated if it choose so to do. That it will learn through experience the folly of its ways. They hold that a man who employs an uneducated and unsafe vender of cures takes his chances, and deserves what poor treatment he gets.

They think that if the populace can't see the great benefits of animal experimentation let them feel the blight of their stupidity, let them suffer personally and economically. In fact, their policy is that of laissez-faire; let everybody have a clear field, and may the best man win. Medical legislation is futile and beneath their dignity. They then assume an academic reserve, and "let George do it."

An analysis of their mental reaction amounts to this: they naively assume that because of their superiority intellectually and culturally the people must select them as their guide, philosopher and friend, in time of trouble, and the quack stands no chance. Now as a matter of experience we know that regardless of culture and social station the sick man and his friends are not good judges of their doctor. They cannot appreciate the fine points of his ability; it is too technical. The

best of them at times will resort to a charlatan with his plausibilities and sophistry; will follow the crowd in its emotional wave against sound health measures, and will pit their misinformed minds against the findings of an exact science.

Progress in medical education and advancement in public health policies, have only been achieved through persistent gentle force and persuasion.

In the face of superstition and enmity we have fought for anatomical dissection, vaccination, quarantine measures, food inspection, animal experimentation and higher medical standards. We have educated the people as we progressed. But no spirit of non-resistance won these triumphs! This Hindu philosophy wins no battles. Great intellectual aloofness and moral superiority did not achieve this. Someone must strive for these gains. Where the doctor, through timidity, laziness or superiority, is not a willing worker for public health, he is unworthy of the support and protection of the great economic combinations of modern life.

CLINICAL CONGRESS OF SURGEONS

On November 19th and 20th the first annual meeting of the California section of the clinical congress of the American College of Surgeons will convene in San Francisco, with headquarters at the Palace Hotel. During this meeting surgical clinics will be conducted in the various hospitals of the city during the morning hours, and scientific sessions will occupy the afternoons. A meeting open to the public will be held Friday evening, November 19th. All members of the California State Medical Society are cordially invited to attend the scientific sessions, of which detailed notices will appear later.